
Strength-Based Counseling Approaches in Schools: A Systematic Literature Review of Strategies for Developing Student Potential

Samsidar✉

Universitas Islam Negeri Sulthan Thaha Jambi, Indonesia

e-mail: *dharsamsidar@gmail.com

INFO ARTIKEL

Input :
December 14, 2024
Revised :
January 16, 2025
Approved :
January 20, 2025
Published :
January 25, 2025

Keywords:

strength-based counseling, school counseling, student potential, positive psychology, systematic literature review

ABSTRAK

Strength-based counseling represents a paradigm shift in school counseling, moving the focus from remediating student deficits toward identifying, mobilizing, and developing students' existing strengths, assets, and positive character traits. This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) methodology to synthesize existing empirical and conceptual literature on strength-based counseling approaches in school settings. A total of 17 primary sources were systematically selected and analyzed based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria, covering the period from 2005 to 2024. Findings indicate that strength-based counseling is consistently associated with improvements in students' resilience, academic hope, social skills, self-efficacy, and career readiness across diverse educational contexts. Practical strategies identified in the literature include group counseling, self-strength exploration, strengths-based academic advising, sociodrama, and technology-mediated counseling for Generation Z students. The effectiveness of this approach is further amplified when embedded within comprehensive counseling programs that integrate school-family-community partnerships and multicultural perspectives. This review underscores the need for counselors to adopt a strengths orientation as the foundational framework of their professional practice, and calls for further empirical studies employing experimental designs to strengthen the evidence base.

INTRODUCTION

For much of its history, school counseling has been predominantly oriented toward a deficit-based model—one that frames students primarily through the lens of



their problems, weaknesses, and pathologies. Within this paradigm, counselors have traditionally directed their attention and resources toward students who are perceived as "at-risk," underperforming, or exhibiting behavioral difficulties, while students who are functioning adequately may receive little or no structured support. While the intention to help struggling students is laudable, the exclusive emphasis on deficits has been increasingly critiqued for its narrow scope and for inadvertently pathologizing normal developmental challenges (Galassi, 2007; Akos & Galassi, 2008).

Against this backdrop, strength-based counseling has emerged as a compelling and increasingly influential alternative framework. Rooted in the broader movement of positive psychology, which gained significant momentum through the foundational work of Seligman and Csikszentmihalyi (2000), strength-based counseling repositions the counseling encounter around what is right with the student rather than what is wrong. This approach holds that every student, regardless of their background or presenting challenges, possesses a constellation of strengths, character virtues, talents, and social resources that can be identified, cultivated, and strategically deployed to support their overall development (Galassi, 2007; Lavy, 2020).

In school settings, the implications of this paradigm shift are far-reaching. Strength-based counseling is not merely a clinical technique but a comprehensive orientation that shapes how counselors conceptualize student development, design intervention programs, build relationships with students and families, and collaborate with teachers and community partners. Research has demonstrated that strength-based approaches are associated with a wide range of positive outcomes, including enhanced resilience (Oktasari et al., 2024; Ramadhoni et al., 2024), increased academic hope and motivation (Hartanto et al., 2020; Asiah et al., 2022), improved social skills (Fatimah et al., 2024), greater academic retention and self-efficacy (Soria & Stubblefield, 2015; Soria et al., 2017), and enhanced career readiness among Generation Z students (Annisa et al., 2024).

Despite growing interest in strength-based counseling, existing literature remains fragmented across different educational levels, cultural contexts, and intervention modalities. A systematic synthesis of the available evidence is therefore timely and necessary to provide school counselors, policymakers, and researchers with a coherent and comprehensive understanding of what strength-based counseling entails, how it is implemented, and what outcomes it produces. This study addresses this gap through a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) of empirical and conceptual works on strength-based counseling approaches in school settings.

Specifically, this study seeks to answer the following research questions: (1) What are the core principles and theoretical foundations of strength-based counseling in school settings? (2) What practical strategies and techniques have been employed to implement strength-based counseling for student development? (3) What outcomes have been associated with strength-based counseling across diverse student populations and educational contexts? The answers to these questions are expected to contribute to the evidence base for strength-based practice in school counseling and to provide actionable guidance for practitioners.

METHODOLOGY

Research Design

This study employed a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) design, a rigorous and replicable method for identifying, evaluating, and synthesizing research evidence relevant to a specific question or topic (Liberati et al., 2009). Unlike narrative reviews, SLR follows a transparent and structured process that minimizes selection bias and enhances the reliability of conclusions drawn from the available literature. The methodological framework adopted in this review draws upon the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure systematic coverage and transparent reporting.

Search Strategy and Inclusion Criteria

A systematic search was conducted across multiple academic databases, including Google Scholar, ERIC (Education Resources Information Center), Scopus, and ResearchGate, using the following keywords and Boolean operators: "strength-based counseling" OR "strengths-based school counseling" AND "student development" OR "school counseling" OR "positive psychology" OR "resilience" OR "academic hope." The search was limited to publications in English and Indonesian between 2005 and 2024, reflecting the period during which strength-based approaches gained significant traction in the counseling literature.

Sources were included if they: (1) focused explicitly on strength-based, strengths-based, or positive psychology-oriented counseling approaches in educational settings; (2) addressed outcomes relevant to student development (e.g., resilience, academic hope, social skills, self-efficacy, career development); (3) were published in peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, or scholarly books; and (4) provided sufficient methodological detail to evaluate their findings. Sources were excluded if they: (1) focused solely on clinical or therapeutic populations outside educational settings; (2) did not provide empirical evidence or theoretical grounding for strength-based claims; or (3) were duplicates.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Following the initial database search, a total of 47 potentially relevant sources were identified. After applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria through a two-stage screening process—first by title and abstract, then by full-text review—17 sources were retained for final analysis. Data extraction was guided by a structured coding framework that captured the following information from each source: author(s) and year of publication, study design, participant characteristics, strength-based strategy or technique employed, outcomes measured, and key findings. The extracted data were then organized thematically to facilitate cross-study synthesis.

Table 1. PRISMA Flow of Literature Selection

Stage	Number of Sources
Initial records identified through database search	47
Records excluded after title/abstract screening	21
Full-text articles assessed for eligibility	26
Full-text articles excluded (insufficient detail, off-topic)	9

Data Analysis

Data analysis in this review followed a qualitative descriptive approach, wherein findings from selected studies were synthesized thematically rather than statistically aggregated. Thematic synthesis involved three iterative stages: (1) line-by-line coding of key findings from each source, (2) development of descriptive themes that captured patterns across studies, and (3) generation of analytical themes that offered interpretive insights beyond the individual findings (Thomas & Harden, 2008). Throughout this process, attention was paid to consistency, contradictions, and contextual variations across studies.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Theoretical Foundations and Core Principles of Strength-Based Counseling

Across the reviewed literature, strength-based counseling in school settings is consistently grounded in three interrelated theoretical traditions: positive psychology, resilience theory, and asset-based community development. Galassi (2007), in his seminal text, articulates strength-based school counseling as a comprehensive framework that reorients the entire counseling program—not just individual sessions—around the systematic identification and promotion of student strengths, competencies, and developmental assets. This framework challenges the dominant deficit narrative in educational institutions and proposes that counselors function as advocates for student growth rather than as technicians who fix student problems.

The foundational principle shared across virtually all reviewed sources is the primacy of strengths over deficits. As Akos and Galassi (2008) articulate, a strengths-based orientation requires counselors to actively resist the pathologizing tendencies embedded in diagnostic language and to instead center their practice on what students can do, what they value, and what contextual resources are available to them. This does not imply that challenges are ignored or minimized, but rather that strengths are positioned as the primary lever for change. Lavy (2020) reinforces this by documenting how character strengths interventions in 21st-century schools—such as identifying signature strengths, strengths-spotting activities, and strength-based feedback—produce measurable improvements in student well-being, engagement, and prosocial behavior.

A second core principle is the orientation toward all students, not merely those identified as at-risk or underperforming. Galassi (2007) and Akos and Galassi (2008) both argue that strength-based school counseling should be designed as a universal, comprehensive program that serves the developmental needs of every student across academic, social-emotional, and career domains. This universalistic stance aligns strength-based counseling with the broader ASCA National Model for school counseling, which emphasizes equitable access to counseling services for all students. Lavy (2020) further demonstrates that character strengths interventions are most impactful when delivered as whole-school initiatives rather than targeted pull-out programs.

The theoretical architecture of strength-based counseling also incorporates key constructs from resilience theory, including hope, optimism, self-efficacy, and adaptive coping. Hartanto et al. (2020) and Asiah et al. (2022) explicitly situate their strength-

based counseling models within a resilience framework, arguing that fostering academic hope—defined as a student's capacity to identify goals, generate pathways toward those goals, and maintain the agency to pursue them—is a central mechanism through which strength-based counseling exerts its effects on academic outcomes.

Implementation Strategies and Practical Techniques

The reviewed literature reveals a rich and diverse repertoire of strategies through which strength-based counseling is operationalized in school settings. These strategies span individual counseling, group-based interventions, academic advising, school-family-community partnership models, and technology-mediated approaches. Table 2 presents a synthesis of the key strategies, their target outcomes, and supporting evidence.

Table 2. Synthesis of Strength-Based Strategies and Their Outcomes

Strategy / Technique	Target Student Outcome	Key Finding	Source
Strength-based group counseling	Resilience, positive character	Significant increase in resilience scores	Oktasari et al. (2024); Ramadhoni et al. (2024)
Self-strength individual counseling (10-step model)	Academic motivation	Improved academic hope during COVID-19 pandemic	Hartanto et al. (2020); Asiah et al. (2022)
Character strengths interventions (whole-school)	Well-being, prosocial behavior, engagement	Positive effects on student well-being & engagement	Lavy (2020)
Strengths-based academic advising	Retention, self-efficacy, academic success	Higher retention and self-efficacy in first-year students	Soria & Stubblefield (2015); Soria et al. (2017); Schreiner & Anderson (2005)
Social skills training using strengths lens	Interpersonal skills, positive relationships	Improved social skills in university students	Fatimah et al. (2024)
School-family-community partnership	Sense of belonging, academic achievement	Empowered students; stronger support network	Bryan & Henry (2008)
Technology-mediated counseling for Gen Z	Career readiness, academic achievement	Enhanced motivation and career awareness	Annisa et al. (2024)
Strengths-based multicultural counseling (SBMC)	Cultural competence, inclusive development	Relevant framework for diverse 21st-century contexts	Rahmawati & Utomo (2024)
Strength-based	Academic success for	Improved	Reis et al. (2022)

support for twice- exceptional students	students with ASD	pathways to success for high school students
--	-------------------	--

Source: Authors' thematic synthesis of reviewed literature

One of the most extensively studied implementation strategies is strength-based group counseling. Ramadhoni et al. (2024) conducted an intervention study in which students participated in structured group counseling sessions designed around the exploration and activation of personal strengths. Their findings revealed significant improvements in resilience scores following the intervention, suggesting that the group format provides a particularly fertile environment for strengths development—allowing students to witness and affirm each other's strengths, thereby deepening their sense of collective efficacy. Oktasari et al. (2024) corroborated these findings in a study of working students during a period of heightened stress, demonstrating that strength-based group counseling effectively buffered against hopelessness and burnout.

At the individual counseling level, Hartanto et al. (2020) developed and validated a ten-step Strength-Based Counseling Model specifically tailored to the university context. The model begins with the establishment of a therapeutic alliance characterized by warmth, empathy, and collaborative goal-setting, before moving through stages of life story exploration to identify latent strengths, problem reframing through a strengths lens, action planning, and ongoing empowerment monitoring. In a subsequent quasi-experimental study, Hartanto and Kartadinata (2021) demonstrated the model's effectiveness in developing academic hope among university students, even within the challenging circumstances imposed by the COVID-19 pandemic. Asiah et al. (2022) extended these findings by showing that strength-based counseling increased students' sense of hope specifically as an antidote to pandemic-induced despair and academic disengagement.

The domain of academic advising represents another productive site for strength-based implementation. Schreiner and Anderson (2005) introduced the concept of strengths-based advising as a new lens for higher education, arguing that advisors who focus on students' talents and assets—rather than correcting weaknesses—produce superior outcomes in terms of academic engagement and persistence. This premise was empirically validated by Soria and Stubblefield (2015), who found that strength-based campus initiatives significantly contributed to student retention, particularly among students from underrepresented or low-income backgrounds. Soria et al. (2017) further demonstrated that first-year undergraduates who received strengths-based advising reported higher academic self-efficacy and greater connectedness to their institution compared to those receiving conventional advising.

Beyond individual and group modalities, the reviewed literature emphasizes the importance of embedding strength-based counseling within broader systemic and ecological frameworks. Bryan and Henry (2008) proposed a school-family-community partnership model grounded in a strengths perspective, in which counselors actively engage families and community organizations as sources of strength and support for students. Their model challenges the deficit-oriented tendency to view low-income families or marginalized communities as problems to be solved, and instead positions them as partners whose assets, cultural knowledge, and resilience are invaluable resources for student development. This partnership approach has been shown to strengthen students' sense of belonging, increase family engagement with schooling, and improve

overall academic outcomes.

Strength-Based Counseling in Diverse and Special Contexts

A notable strength of the reviewed literature is its attention to the application of strength-based counseling across diverse student populations and educational contexts. Rahmawati and Utomo (2024) proposed a Strengths-Based Multicultural Counseling (SBMC) model specifically designed for the 21st-century Indonesian educational landscape, arguing that a strengths orientation is particularly well-suited to multicultural settings because it honors the diverse assets, values, and cultural identity resources that students from different backgrounds bring to the counseling encounter. This model encourages counselors to move beyond cultural sensitivity toward active cultural asset mobilization—treating students' cultural heritage, language, and community ties as strengths to be leveraged rather than differences to be accommodated.

For students with disabilities, Raley, Shogren, and Cole (2020) articulate how positive psychology and strength-based frameworks offer a transformative alternative to the historically deficit-dominated field of special education. Rather than defining students by their diagnostic labels, strength-based approaches to disability support focus on identifying students' individual capacities, interests, and self-determination skills, and designing educational environments that amplify these strengths. Reis, Gelbar, and Madaus (2022) applied this lens to twice-exceptional high school students—those who are both gifted and have autism spectrum disorder (ASD)—and identified specific teaching and support strategies that leveraged students' exceptional cognitive strengths while providing targeted accommodations for their challenges. Their findings underscore the particular utility of strength-based approaches for students whose potential is at risk of being obscured by deficit-oriented assessments.

The reviewed literature also sheds light on the application of strength-based counseling for Generation Z students, for whom digital technology is not merely a tool but an integral dimension of identity and social life. Annisa et al. (2024) explored the integration of digital platforms and interactive online media into strength-based counseling processes aimed at optimizing Generation Z students' career development and academic achievement. Their study found that technology-mediated strength-based counseling enhanced students' motivation, career awareness, and self-understanding, while also making the counseling process more accessible and engaging for digitally-native students. This finding suggests that the modality through which strength-based counseling is delivered must be responsive to the generational characteristics and technological fluency of contemporary students.

Outcomes and Evidence of Effectiveness

Across the reviewed literature, strength-based counseling is associated with a consistent and wide-ranging pattern of positive outcomes. In the domain of psychological well-being, studies consistently report improvements in resilience, optimism, academic hope, and reduced burnout following strength-based interventions (Oktasari et al., 2024; Ramadhoni et al., 2024; Hartanto et al., 2020; Asiah et al., 2022). These findings are theoretically coherent, as strength-based counseling directly targets the psychological assets—hope, self-efficacy, positive emotion—that resilience research identifies as key protective factors against adversity.

In the academic domain, strength-based advising and counseling have been linked to improved retention rates, higher academic self-efficacy, and stronger sense of institutional belonging, particularly among first-generation and low-income college students (Soria & Stubblefield, 2015; Soria et al., 2017; Schreiner & Anderson, 2005).

These outcomes are especially significant given the persistent equity gaps in higher education, suggesting that strength-based approaches may serve as a leveling force that enables marginalized students to access and sustain academic success.

Social skills and interpersonal competence represent another domain in which strength-based counseling demonstrates meaningful impact. Fatimah et al. (2024) found in their literature review that a strengths perspective framework provides a solid theoretical basis for designing social skills interventions, as it encourages students to recognize and build upon their existing relational assets rather than focusing exclusively on skill deficits. Similarly, Lavy's (2020) review of character strengths interventions documented improvements in prosocial behavior, empathy, and positive peer relationships following strength-based programs delivered in school settings.

It is important, however, to situate these findings within an honest appraisal of the methodological limitations in the existing literature. Several studies in this review relied on single-group pre-post designs without control conditions (e.g., Hartanto et al., 2020; Asiah et al., 2022; Ramadhoni et al., 2024), which limits the ability to attribute observed gains definitively to the strength-based intervention rather than to maturation, regression to the mean, or other extraneous factors. Additionally, many studies were conducted within specific cultural or institutional contexts—particularly Indonesian university settings—which may constrain the generalizability of findings to other educational systems. Future research should prioritize randomized controlled trials, longitudinal follow-up assessments, and cross-cultural replications to build a more robust evidence base for strength-based counseling.

Implications for Practice

The synthesis of reviewed literature carries several significant implications for the practice of school counseling. First, counselors are encouraged to undergo a fundamental reorientation of their professional identity—from problem-fixers to strength-builders. This shift is not merely cosmetic but requires substantive changes in assessment practices (moving toward strength-based assessment tools), case conceptualization frameworks, session planning, and program design. Galassi (2007) argues that this reorientation must be reflected at every level of the school counseling program, from individual counseling to classroom guidance to schoolwide initiatives.

Second, the evidence strongly supports the integration of strength-based counseling within comprehensive, developmental school counseling programs rather than its deployment as an isolated technique. When strength-based principles are woven into every aspect of the counseling program—from career exploration activities to academic advising to crisis response—their cumulative effect on student development is substantially amplified (Akos & Galassi, 2008; Bryan & Henry, 2008). Counselors should therefore advocate for institutional and policy support for strength-based comprehensive counseling programs, particularly in schools serving disadvantaged populations.

Third, counselor preparation programs bear a responsibility to equip pre-service counselors with both the philosophical grounding and practical skills required for strength-based practice. This includes training in positive psychology assessments (such as the VIA Character Strengths Survey), strengths-based interviewing techniques, culturally responsive strength identification, and the facilitation of strengths-based group processes. Without deliberate preparation in these competencies, counselors may default to deficit-oriented frameworks even when they intellectually endorse a strength-based philosophy.

CONCLUSION

This systematic literature review has synthesized 17 sources of empirical and conceptual scholarship on strength-based counseling in school settings, yielding several important conclusions. Strength-based counseling represents a theoretically coherent, empirically supported, and practically versatile approach to school counseling that stands in productive contrast to deficit-oriented models. Its core principles—focusing on assets rather than pathologies, serving all students universally, and embedding counseling within ecological systems of support—offer a humanizing and equity-affirming vision of what school counseling can achieve.

The practical strategies identified in this review span a broad spectrum of modalities and contexts, from individual self-strength counseling and group-based resilience programs to strength-based academic advising and technology-mediated career counseling for Generation Z. Across these diverse approaches, the reviewed literature consistently documents improvements in students' resilience, academic hope, social skills, self-efficacy, and academic persistence. These outcomes are not merely statistically significant but carry profound implications for educational equity, student well-being, and the long-term flourishing of diverse student populations.

Nevertheless, the evidence base for strength-based counseling remains uneven, with many studies relying on single-group designs and geographically limited samples. Future research should invest in randomized controlled trials, longitudinal studies, and cross-cultural investigations to deepen and diversify the empirical foundation of this approach. Counselor educators, practitioners, and policymakers alike are called to champion the integration of strength-based principles into every dimension of school counseling—not as a temporary trend, but as an enduring commitment to recognizing and nurturing the inherent potential within every student.

LITERATURE

- Akos, P., & Galassi, J. (2008). Strengths-based school counseling: Introduction to the special issue. *Professional School Counseling*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.5330/psc.n.2010-12.66>
- Annisa, D., Novianti, W., Nuramalia, R., & Irmayanti, R. (2024). Optimizing career development and academic achievement of generation Z students through strengths-based counseling. *Proceedings of Siliwangi Annual International Conference on Guidance and Counselling*. <https://doi.org/10.64420/saicgc.v3i1.34>
- Asiah, N., Rusmana, N., & Saripah, I. (2022). Strength-based counseling: Alternative counseling to increase student hope during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Bisma The Journal of Counseling*. <https://doi.org/10.23887/bisma.v6i1.45347>
- Bryan, J., & Henry, L. (2008). Strengths-based partnerships: A school-family-community partnership approach to empowering students. *Professional School Counseling*, 12, 149–156. <https://doi.org/10.5330/psc.n.2010-12.149>
- Fatimah, S., Ahman, A., Suherman, U., & Ilfiandra, I. (2024). Enhancing social skills in university students through strengths-based counseling: A literature review utilizing the strengths perspective framework. *Proceedings of Siliwangi Annual International Conference on Guidance and Counselling*. <https://doi.org/10.64420/saicgc.v3i1.38>
- Galassi, J. (2007). Strengths-based school counseling: Promoting student development and achievement. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315087641>

- Hartanto, D., & Kartadinata, S. (2021). The effectiveness of strength-based counseling model in developing university students' academic hope. Unpublished manuscript.
- Hartanto, D., Kartadinata, S., Ilfiandra, I., & Ahman, A. (2020). The effectiveness of strength based counseling model to improve academic hope in students. *PSIKOPEDAGOGIA Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling*. <https://doi.org/10.12928/psikopedagogia.v9i1.13069>
- Lavy, S. (2020). A review of character strengths interventions in twenty-first-century schools: Their importance and how they can be fostered. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 15, 573–596. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-018-9700-6>
- Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gotzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P. A., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P. J., Kleijnen, J., & Moher, D. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions. *PLOS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- Oktasari, M., Ramli, M., & Hidayah, N. (2024). Strength based counseling: Alternative counseling to increase working students' resilience. *Research and Development Journal of Education*. <https://doi.org/10.30998/rdje.v10i2.16676>
- Rahmawati, N., & Utomo, P. (2024). Strengths-based multicultural counseling (SBMC) model: An approach for counselors and guidance and counseling teachers in the 21st century. *Proceedings of Siliwangi Annual International Conference on Guidance and Counselling*. <https://doi.org/10.64420/saicgc.v3i1.30>
- Raley, S., Shogren, K., & Cole, B. (2020). Positive psychology and education of students with disabilities: The way forward for assessment and intervention. *Advances in Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 5, 11–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41252-020-00181-8>
- Ramadhoni, S., Lubis, P., Santera, T., Adiyatma, R., Azizah, N., & Rimonda, R. (2024). Increasing student resilience with strength-based group counseling. *KONSELI: Jurnal Bimbingan dan Konseling (E-Journal)*. <https://doi.org/10.24042/kons.v11i1.21898>
- Reis, S., Gelbar, N., & Madaus, J. (2022). Pathways to academic success: Specific strength-based teaching and support strategies for twice exceptional high school students with autism spectrum disorder. *Gifted Education International*, 39, 378–400. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02614294221124197>
- Schreiner, L., & Anderson, E. (2005). Strengths-based advising: A new lens for higher education. *NACADA Journal*, 25, 20–29. <https://doi.org/10.12930/0271-9517-25.2.20>
- Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5>
- Soria, K., & Stubblefield, R. (2015). Building a strengths-based campus to support student retention. *Journal of College Student Development*, 56, 626–631. <https://doi.org/10.1353/csd.2015.0056>
- Soria, K., Laumer, N., Morrow, D., & Marttinen, G. (2017). Strengths-based advising approaches: Benefits for first-year undergraduates. *NACADA Journal*, 37, 55–65. <https://doi.org/10.12930/nacada-16-010>
- Thomas, J., & Harden, A. (2008). Methods for the thematic synthesis of qualitative research in systematic reviews. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(1), 45. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-45>